

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/567,599	Applicant(s) FUJITA, YASUHIRO	
	Examiner SEAN D. ANDRISH	Art Unit 3672	

All Participants:

(1) SEAN D. ANDRISH.

(2) Leighton Chong.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00pm

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant to inform him that the application was in condition for allowance if the claim limitations of dependent claims 2 and 4 were incorporated into independent claim 1, claims 2 and 4 were cancelled, claim 3 was amended to depend upon claim 1, and editorial changes were made to claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 16 to correct antecedent basis errors. Changes were agreed upon. Examiner will generate an examiner's amendment to make the agreed upon changes..